Our Case Number: ABP-319240-24

Your Reference: Cummeennabuddoge Wind Designated Activ

Company



Atmos Consulting CBC House 24 Canning Street Edinburgh Scotland

Date: 22 August 2024

Re: Proposed wind farm and 110kV substation (Design Option)

located on land at Clydaghroe and Cummeenabuddoge, Clonkeen, within County Kerry.

Dear Sir / Madam,

I have been asked by An Bord Pleanála to refer to the above-mentioned proposed development.

Please be advised that following consultations under section 37CC of the Planning and Development Act 2000, as amended, the Board has formed an opinion on design flexibility. A copy of the opinion is enclosed.

Please note that the Board's opinion on design flexibility will not be available for public inspection until an application is made to it under section 37E of the Act. Information in relation to challenges to the validity of a decision of An Bord Pleanála under the provisions of the Planning and Development Act 2000, as amended, is also enclosed.

If you have any queries in the meantime, please contact the undersigned officer of the Board or email sids@pleanala.ie quoting the above mentioned An Bord Pleanála reference number in any correspondence with the Board.

Yours faithfully,

Ellen Moss
Executive Officer

Direct Line: 01-8737285

CC03



An Bord Pleanála Opinion on Flexibility

2) Request for meeting	
Request under section 37CC	Request for Design Flexibility in relation to a
of the Act:	proposed windfarm at Cummennabuddoge and
	adjacent townlands, County Clare.
Request reference Number:	ABP 319240-24
Name of the requestor/	Cummennabuddoge Wind Designated Activity
prospective applicant:	Company (DAC).
Location, townland or postal	Cummennabuddoge and adjacent townlands
address of the land or	County Kerry and County Cork.
structure to which the	
application relates (as may	
be appropriate):	
Nature and extent of the	Proposed 17 no. wind turbines each with a
proposed development:	capacity of between 6MW and 7.2MW, including
	an onsite substation and ancillary works
Date of receipt of the	26 th February, 2024
request:	
Opinion Reference Number:	ABP 319240-24
Date of Opinion:	16 th August, 2024

Pm

ABP-319240-24 Page 1 of 4

3) Was the following Information included where relevant, with the Flexibility Meeting Request under section 287A of the Planning and Development Act 2000, as amended and the Planning and Development Regulations 2001, as amended.

pm

ABP-319240-24 Page 2 of 4

ii.	parameters within which each detail referred			
to in paragraph (d) above will fall and on the				
	basis of which the proposed application may			
	be made and decided, or			
iii.	a combination of (i) and (ii).		~	
(g) Su	uch other information, drawings or	Yes: []	No: []	Layout
representations as the prospective applicant may				Drawings
wish t	o provide or make available.			
(h) Th	e appropriate fee.	Yes: []	No: []	N/A: [x]

At a meeting held on 16th August, 2024 the Board considered the report of the Inspector, the documents submitted as part of the pre-application consultation under section 37CC of the Planning and Development Act 2000, as amended on design flexibility.

In this regard an opinion on design flexibility shall issue to the prospective applicant as set out below:

4) Opinion of the Board under section 37CC of the Planning and

Development Act 2000, as amended and the Planning and Development			
Regulations 2001, as amended.			
Information	Details/ Circumstances		
a) The details, or groups of details,	Turbine Dimensions:		
of the proposed development that	a) Turbine Tip Height		
may be confirmed after the	b) Rotor Diameter		
proposed application has been	c) Hub height		
made and decided.			
	It is acknowledged that the flexibility in turbine		
4	specification may have consequential		
	implications for the total rated energy output for		

PM

ABP-319240-24 Page 3 of 4

the windfarm development.

- b) The circumstances relating to the proposed development that indicate that it is appropriate that the proposed application be made and decided before the prospective applicant has confirmed the details referred to in paragraph (a) above.
- To avail of ongoing advances in technology;
- ii. To facilitate the procurement process;
- iii. To ensure against the potential obsolescence of existing technology.

For each detail, or groups of details, referred to in paragraph 4(a) above, the proposed application shall, in addition to any other requirement imposed by or under the Planning and Development Act 2000, as amended, be accompanied by the information referred to in the undertaking submitted with the flexibility meeting request under section, 37CC(2)(f) of the Planning and Development Act 2000, as amended.

The proposed application must be consistent with the opinion provided in accordance with section 37CD of the Act.

Peter Mullan

Member of An Bord Pleanála duly authorised to authenticate the seal of the Board.

Dated this 21 day of August, 2024

ABP-319240-24 Page 4 of 4



Board Direction BD-017252-24 ABP-319240-24

The submissions on file and the inspector's report were considered at a Board Meeting held on the 16/8/2024.

The Board should notify the prospective applicant of its Opinion in respect of flexibility under section 37CD of the Planning and Development Act, 2000, as amended, in accordance with the following Draft Opinion.

DRAFT OPINION

An Bord Pleanála Opinion on Flexibility

(1) Request for meeting	
Request under section	Request for Design Flexibility in relation to a
37CC of the Act:	proposed windfarm at Cummennabuddoge and
	adjacent townlands, Co. Clare.
Request reference	ABP 319240-24
Number:	
Name of the requestor/	Cummennabuddoge Wind Designated Activity
prospective applicant:	Company (DAC).
Location, townland or	Cummennabuddoge and adjacent townlands Co.
postal address of the	Kerry and Co. Cork
land or structure to	
which the application	
relates (as may be	
appropriate):	

ABP-319240-24 Board Direction Page 1 of 4

Nature and extent of the	Proposed 17 no. wind turbines each with a capacity
proposed development:	of between 6MW and 7.2MW, including an onsite
	substation and ancillary works
Date of receipt of the	26 th February 2024
request:	
Opinion Reference	ABP 319240-24
Number:	
Date of Opinion:	

(2) Was the following Information included where relevant, with the Flexibility Meeting Request under section 37CC of the Planning and Development Act 2000, as amended and the Planning and Development Regulations 2001, as amended.

Information	Enclosed with Request		
(a) A site location map sufficient to identify the	Yes: [x]	No: []	
maritime area/land on which the proposed			
development would be situated.			
(b) A brief description of the nature and purpose of the	Yes: [x]	No: []	
proposed development and of its possible effects on			
the environment.			
(c) A draft layout plan of the proposed development.	Yes: [x]	No: []	
(d) A description of the details, or groups of details, of	Yes: [x]	No: []	
the proposed development that, owing to the			
circumstances set out in (e) below, are unlikely to be			
confirmed at the time of the proposed application.			
(e) A description of the circumstances relating to the	Yes: [x]	No: []	
proposed development that indicate that it is			
appropriate that the proposed application be made and			
decided, before the prospective applicant has			
confirmed the details referred to in (d) above.			

ABP-319240-24 Board Direction Page 2 of 4

(f) An undertaking to provide with the proposed	Yes: [x]	No: []	
application, either -			
i. two or more options, in respect of each detail or			
group of details referred to in (d) above			
containing information on the basis of which the			
proposed application may be made and			
decided,			
ii. parameters within which each detail referred to in			
paragraph (d) above will fall and on the basis of			
which the proposed application may be made			
and decided, or			
iii. a combination of (i) and (ii).			
(g) Such other information, drawings or representations	Yes: []	No: []	Layout
as the prospective applicant may wish to provide or			Drawings
make available.			
(h) The appropriate fee.	Yes: [x]	No: []	

(3) Opinion of the Board under section 287B of the Planning and Development Act 2000, as amended and the Planning and Development Regulations 2001, as amended.

Information	Details/ Circumstances	
a) The details, or groups of	1. Turbine Dimensions:	
details, of the proposed	a) Turbine Tip Height	
development that may be	b) Rotor Diameter	
confirmed after the proposed	c) Hub height	
application has been made and		
decided.	It is acknowledged that the flexibility in turbine	
	specification may have consequential implications for	
	the total rated energy output for the windfarm	
	development.	
b) The circumstances relating to	i. To avail of ongoing advances in	
the proposed development that	technology;	
indicate that it is appropriate that	ii. To facilitate the procurement process;	

ARP-319240-24 Roard Direction Page 3 of 4

the proposed application be	iii.	To ensure against the potential	
made and decided before the		obsolescence of existing technology.	
prospective applicant has			
confirmed the details referred to			
in paragraph (a) above.			

For each detail, or groups of details, referred to above, the proposed application shall, in addition to any other requirement imposed by or under the Planning and Development Act 2000, as amended, be accompanied by the information referred to in the undertaking submitted with the flexibility meeting request under section, 37CC(2)(f) of the Planning and Development Act 2000, as amended.

The proposed application must be consistent with the opinion provided in accordance with section 37CD of the Act.

Board Member	Poter Well	Date:	16/08/2024
	Peter Mullan		

ABP-319240-24 Board Direction Page 4 of 4

Judicial review of An Bord Pleanála decisions under the provisions of the Planning and Development Acts (as amended).

A person wishing to challenge the validity of a Board decision may do so by way of judicial review only. Sections 50, 50A and 50B of the Planning and Development Act 2000, as amended, contain provisions in relation to challenges to the validity of a decision of the Board.

The validity of a decision taken by the Board may only be questioned by making an application for judicial review under Order 84 of The Rules of the Superior Courts (S.I. No. 15 of 1986). Sub-section 50(6) of the Planning and Development Act 2000 requires that any application for leave to apply for judicial review must be made within 8 weeks of the date of the decision of the Board, save for decisions made pursuant to a function transferred to the Board under Part XIV of the Planning and Development Act 2000, where any application for leave to apply for judicial review must, as set out in subsection 50(7), be made within 8 weeks beginning on the date on which notice of the decision of the Board was first sent (or as may be the requirement under the relevant enactment, functions under which are transferred to the Board, was first published). These time periods are subject to any extension which may be allowed by the High Court in accordance with sub-section 50(8).

Section 50A(3) states that leave for judicial review shall not be granted unless the Court is satisfied that (a) there are substantial grounds for contending that the decision is invalid or ought to be quashed and (b) the applicant has a sufficient interest in the matter which is the subject of the application or, in cases involving environmental impact assessment, is a body complying with specified criteria.

Section 50B contains provisions in relation to the costs of certain judicial review proceedings in the High Court; pursuant to Section 50B(1), Section 50B applies to the following proceedings:

- (a) proceedings in the High Court by way of judicial review, or of seeking leave to apply for judicial review, of—
 - (i) any decision or purported decision made or purportedly made,
 - (ii) any action taken or purportedly taken,
 - (iii) any failure to take any action, pursuant to a statutory provision that gives effect to
 - a provision of the EIA Directive 85/337/EEC as amended to which Article 10a (as inserted by Directive 2003/35/EC) of that Directive applies,
 - (II) the SEA Directive 2001/42/EC, or
 - (III) a provision of the IPPC Directive 2008/1/EC which Article 16 of that Directive applies, or
 - (IV) Article 6(3) or 6(4) of the Habitats Directive; or
- (b) an appeal (including an appeal by way of case stated) to the Supreme Court from a decision of the High Court in a proceeding referred to in paragraph (a);
- (c) proceedings in the High Court or the Supreme Court for interim or interlocutory relief in relation to a proceeding referred to in paragraph (a) or (b).

The general provision contained in section 50B(2) is that in proceedings to which the section applies each party shall bear its own costs. The Court however may award costs against any party in specified circumstances. There is also provision for the Court to award the costs of proceedings or a portion of such costs to an applicant to the extent that the applicant succeeds in obtaining relief against a respondent or notice party, or both, to the extent that the action or omission of the respondent or notice party contributed to the relief being obtained.

General information on judicial review procedures is contained on the following website, www.citizensinformation.ie.

Disclaimer: The above is intended for information purposes. It does not purport to be a legally binding interpretation of the relevant provisions and it would be advisable for persons contemplating legal action to seek legal advice.

Fógra faoi Athbhreithniú Breithiúnach

Athbhreithniú breithiúnach ar chinneadh an Bhoird Pleanála faoi fhorálacha na nAchtanna um Pleanáil agus Forbairt (arna leasú).

Ní fhéadfaidh duine ar mian leis nó léi agóid a dhéanamh in aghaidh bhailíocht an chinnidh Bhoird é sin a dhéanamh ach trí athbhreithniú breithiúnach. Tá forálacha ann in Alt 50, 50A agus 50B den Acht um Pleanáil agus Forbairt 2000, arna leasú, maidir le dúshláin i leith bhalíocht an chinnidh Bhoird.

Ní féidir bailíocht cinnidh arna ghlacadh ag an mBord a cheistiú ach amháin trí iaratas a dhéanamh ar athbhreithniú breithiúnach faoi Ordú 84 de Rialacha na nUaschúirteanna (S.I. Uimh 15 de 1986). Ceanglaíonn fo-alt 50(6) den Acht um Pleanáil agus Forbairt 2000 go gcaithfear aon iarratas ar chead chun iarratas a dhéanamh ar athbhreithniú breithiúnach a dhéanamh laistigh de 8 seachtaine ó dháta chinnidh an Bhoird, seachas cinntí a dhéantar de bhun feidhme aistrithe chuig an mBord faoi Chuid XIV den Acht um Pleanáil agus Forbairt 2000, i gcás nach mór aon iarratas ar chéad chun iarratas a dhéanamh ar athbhreithniú breithiúnach, mar atá leagtha amach i bhfo alt 50(7), a dhéanamh laistigh de 8 seachtaine ag tosú ar an dáta a thugadh fógra faoi chinneadh an Bhoird ar dtús (nó mar a cheanglófar faoín achtú ábharta, a aistríodh feidhmeanna faoi chuig an mBord, a foilsíodh den chéad uair). Tá na tréimhsí ama seo faoi réir aon síneadh a fhéadfaidh an Ard-Chúirt a cheasú de réir fho-alt 50(8).

Sonraítear in alt 50A(3) nach deonófar cead d'athbhreithniú breithiúnach mura bhfuil an Chúirt sásta (a) go bhfuil forais shubstaintiúla ann chun a áitiú go bhfuil an cinneadh neamhbhailí nó gur chóir é a chuir ar neamhní agus (b) go bhfuil leas leordhóthanach ag an iarratasóir san ábhar is ábhar don iarratas nó i gcásanna a bhaineann le measúnú tionchair timpeallachta ar comhlacht é a chomhlíonann critéir shonraithe.

Tá forálacha ann in alt 50B maidir le costais imeachtaí athbhreithnithe bhreithiúnaigh áirithe san Ard-Chúirt; de bhun Alt 50B(1), tá feidhm ag alt 50B maidir leis na himeachtaí seo a leanas:

- (a) imeachtaí san Ard-Chúirt mar athbhreithniú breithiúnach, nó trí chead a lorg chun iarratas a dhéanamh ar athbhreithiúnach breithiúnach ar—
 - (i) aon chinneadh nó cinneadh airbheartaithe a rinneadh nó a airbheartaitear a rinneadh,
 - (ii) aon ghníomh a rinneadh nó a airbheartaítear a rinneadh,
 - (iii) aon mhainneachtain aon ghníomh a dhéanamh, de bhun forála reachtúla a thugann éifeacht
 - d'fhoráil de Threoir EIA 85/337/CEE arna leasú lena mbaineann Airteagal 10a (arna cur isteach le Threoir 2003/35/CE) den Treoir sin, nó
 - II. do Threoir SEA 2001/42/CE, nó
 - III. d'fhoráil de Threoir IPPC 2008/1/CE a bhfuil feidhm ag Airteagal 16 den Treoir sin maidir lei, nó
 - IV. d'Airteagak 6(3) nó 6(4) den Treoir maidir le Gnáthóga, nó
- (b) achomharc (lena n-áirítear achomharc de chás ráite) chun na Cúirte Uachtaraí i gcoinne breithe ón Ard-Chúirt in imeacht dá dtagraítear i mír (a);
- (c) imeachtaí san Ard-Chúirt nó sa Chúirt Uachtarach le haghaidh faoisimh eatramhach nó idirbhreitheach i ndáil le himeacht dá dtagraítear i mír (a) nó (b).

Is í an fhoráil ghinearálta atá in alt 50B(2) ná go n-íocfaidh gach páirtí in imeachtaí lena mbaineann an t-alt a chostais féin. Féadfaidh an Chúirt áfach, costais a dhámhachtain in aghaidh aon pháirtí in imithosca sonraithe. Tá foráil ann freisin go ndéanfaidh an Chúirt costais imeachtaí nó chuid de chostais den sort sin a dhámhachtain d'iarratasoir, a mhéid a éiríonn leis an iarratasóir faoiseamh a fháil, i gcoinne freagróra nó páirtí fógra, nó an dá chean, a mhéid a chuir an chaingean nó an t-easnamh ar thaobh an fhreagróra nó an pháirtí fógra go pairteach leis an bhfaoiseamh atá á fháil.

Tá eolas ginearálta ar nósanna imeachta athbhreithnithe bhreithiúnaigh ar fáil anseo a leanas www.citizensinformation.ie.

Séanadh: Mar eolas atá an méid thuas ceaptha. Ní airbheartaíonn sé a bheith ina léirmhíniú ceangailteach ó thaobh dlí ar na forálacha ábhartha agus bheadh sé inmholta do dhaoine atá ag smaoineamh ar chaingean dlí comhairle dlí a lorg.